

Student Rules & Regulations Committee



Rules and Regulations Committee Meeting

June 5, 2020

Meeting Minutes

Attendance:

Voting Members Present: Chris Cherry, Joe Dannenbaum, Delisa Falks, Venesa Heidick, Megan Hein, Angie Hill Price, Rachel Krolczyk, Beryl Mohanadhas, Rita Moyes, Shannon Norris, Rio O'Neal, Susan Phillips, Anne Reber

Voting Members Absent: Jerry Brown, Gregory Cross, Jennifer Lightfoot, Sarah Rodriguez, Mark Sicilio, Allison Smith, Mason Smith

a) **Non-Voting Members Present:** Darla Guerra, Branston Harris, Tim Powers, Huanshu Yuan

Guests: None

The meeting was called to order at 3:00 pm by Anne Reber. Quorum was confirmed.

1. Minutes from the meeting on April 3, 2020 were approved.
 - a. Motion to accept the minutes – R. Moyes; Second – J. Dannenbaum
2. Old Business
 - a. None.
3. New Business
 - a. **Rule 8 – Examinations**
 - i. Discussion: Faculty gives projects/papers as finals. There are different ways to assess learning. Can't limit faculty members in a way that assesses learning – too vague and impinges on too many factors. Faculty should have established dates in syllabus.
 - ii. Motion to reject rule proposal – R. Moyes; Second – J. Dannenbaum; Motion passed.
 - iii. Dr. Reber to notify student of decision.
 - b. **Rule 10 – Grading**
 - i. Discussion: Disagree with changes, it was already adjusted for the spring semester. Not appropriate to change as graduate students are held to a higher standard. If wanting to consider changes, coordinate with GPSG or GOC Deans and governing bodies. Catalog changes would have to be made and would cause significant ramifications if the rule was approved.
 - ii. Motion to reject rule proposal – S. Phillips; Second – A. Hill Price; Opposed – R. O'Neal.
 - iii. List Rachel Krolczyk as a contact for GOC.
 - iv. Dr. Reber to notify student of decision.
 - c. **Rule 15 – Graduation with Latin Honors**
 - i. Discussion: Already addressed and opposed to new wording – restorative justice. University has already engaged in restorative justice. The rule allows for 60 credit hours and meant to apply to students with academic misconduct who are half way through education.
 - ii. Motion to reject rule proposal – R. Moyes; Second S. Phillips; Motion passed.
 - iii. Dr. Reber to notify student of decision.
 - d. **Other Business – Rule submission**
 - i. Discussion: Dr. Reber requested feedback about the rule submission form and asked about giving advisement to rule submitters to confer with Faculty/staff in order to craft a more robust rule submissions. The submitters are always invited to meetings. Rachel Krolczyk would be a resource to students who would like to submit a new rule.

The meeting was adjourned at 3:45 pm.

Motion – J. Dannebaum; Second – R. Moyes – Motion to adjourn approved.